

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/715,787	11/17/2000	Peter A. Barany	NRT.0072US	9500
21906 TROP PRUNE	7590 06/12/2007 R & HU. PC		EXAMINER	
1616 S. VOSS ROAD, SUITE 750			MEW, KEVIN D	
HOUSTON, TX 77057-2631		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2616	
		•		
	•		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/12/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	•					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/715,787	BARANY ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
<u> </u>	Kevin Mew	2616				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMN 36(a). In no event, however, will apply and will expire SIX (i e, cause the application to become	IUNICATION. may a reply be timely filed by MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. make a part of the communication of the communication of the communication.				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 M	<u>larch 2007</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 193	5 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims		•				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1,3-16,18-29,31-34 and 36-41 is/are 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) 5-12,14-16,18-29,31-33,37-39 and 4 is/are rejected 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration 1 is/are allowed. 1.	n.				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) objected or b) objected drawing(s) be held in a tion is required if the drawing or better the draw	peyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). awing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received s have been received rity documents have u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	l. I in Application No Deen received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Pape 5) 🔲 Notic	view Summary (PTO-413) er No(s)/Mail Date ee of Informal Patent Application r:				

Art Unit: 2616

Detailed Action

Page 2

Response to Amendment

- 1. Applicant's arguments filed on 3/16/2007 regarding claims 1, 34, 36, 40 have been considered. Claims 1, 3-16, 18-29, 31-34, 36-41 are currently pending in the application. Claims 2, 17, 30 and 35 have been canceled by applicant.
- Acknowledgement is made of the amended claims 34 and 40 regarding the 35 U.S.C.
 101 rejection set forth in the previous Office action. The corrections are acceptable and the 35 U.S.C.
 101 rejection is now withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 1, 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Gorday et al. (USP 6,426,980).

Regarding claims 1, Gorday discloses a method of interleaving speech data communicated with a particular mobile station (a wireless communication device, element 35,

Art Unit: 2616

Fig. 1) over a plurality frames (interleaving a plurality of calls over a plurality of interleaving blocks, col. 4, lines 50-58 and Fig. 3), comprising:

Page 3

interleaving a first set of the speech data (a first set of calls) communicated in a communications session with the particular mobile station (communicated in a downlink call with the particular wireless communications device 35, abstract, col. 4, lines 50-67, col. 5, lines 1-6) according to a first algorithm (first interleaver interleaves a first set of calls into I-channel bit stream, col. 4, lines 50-54) over plural frames communicated over a wireless channel (multiplexed bit stream transmitted to the wireless communication device 35 over the outbound RF channel 32, col. 5, limes 1-6 and Fig. 1) for a first set of speech data (for a first set of calls, col. 4, lines 50-54); and

interleaving a second set of the speech data (a second set of calls) communicated in a communications session with the particular mobile station (communicated in a downlink call with the particular wireless communications device 35, abstract, col. 4, lines 50-67, col. 5, lines 1-6) according to a second algorithm (second interleaver interleaves a second set of calls into Q-channel bit stream, col. 4, lines 54-58) over plural frames communicated over the wireless channel (multiplexed bit stream transmitted to the wireless communication device 35 over the outbound RF channel 32, col. 5, limes 1-6 and Fig. 1) for a second set of speech data (for a second set of calls, col. 4, lines 50-54).

Regarding claim 3, Gorday discloses the method of claim 1, wherein interleaving the data according to the first or second algorithm comprises interleaving over frames (interleaving over blocks according to the first interleaver) of a multiframe (of a QAM bit stream, Fig. 3).

Art Unit: 2616

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Page 4

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gorday et al. in view of Olofsson et al. (USP 6,134,230).

Regarding claim 4, Gorday discloses all the aspects of claim 3 above, except fails to explicitly show the method of claim 3, wherein interleaving over frames of the multiframe comprises interleaving over a General Packet Radio Service multiframe.

However, Olofsson discloses a GPRS system that utilizes 16-bit QAM modulation scheme (col. 2, lines 46-67, col. 3, lines 1-3).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the interleaving system and method of Gorday with the teaching of Olofsson in using 16-bit QAM modulation in a GPRS system such that the QAM bit stream/multiframe of Gorday will be formatted as GPRS frame.

The motivation to do so is to provide the capability to vary the user bit rate such that a higher voice quality is achieved by using a higher user bit rate.

6. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gorday et al. in view of Hakansson et al. (US Publication 2004/0062274).

Regarding claim 13, Gorday discloses all the aspects of claim 3 above. the method of claim 3, wherein the multiframe (QAM bit stream) comprises plural blocks (plurality of interleaving blocks, Fig. 3) and wherein interleaving the data frames according to the first and second algorithms (first interleaver interleaves a first set of calls into I-channel bit stream and second interleaver interleaves a second set of calls into Q-channel bit stream, col. 4, lines 50-58).

Gorday does not explicitly show each block comprises plural frames, each frame containing plural bursts, the data being carried in data frames interleaved over bursts in the plural frames, and receiving an end-of-data indicating frame to indicate that a data frame is the last data frame; and interleaving the end-of-data indicating frame according to predetermined algorithms,

wherein the end-of-data indicating frame according to the predetermined algorithms enables the end-of-data indicating frame to end within the same block carrying the last data frame.

However, Hakansson discloses a block that comprises plural frames (see the TDMA frames in each block in Figs. 5 and 6), each frame containing plural bursts (see the bursts in each frame in Figs. 5 and 6), the data being carried in data frames interleaved over bursts in the plural frames (see lines 1-12, paragraph 0027 and Figs. 5 and 6), and a method comprising:

receiving an end-of-data indicating frame to indicate that a data frame is the last data frame (receiving SID_FIRST frame, Fig. 5); and

interleaving the end-of-data indicating frame according to predetermined algorithms (interleaving SID_FIRST frame with the last speech data frames, see frames 5-8, Fig. 5),

wherein the end-of-data indicating frame (SID_FIRST frame, Fig. 5) according to the predetermined algorithms enables the end-of-data indicating frame to end within the same block carrying the last data frame (by interleaving TDMA frames for the Last Speech frame with SID_FIRST markers to enable the last speech frame to end in the same block carrying the last speech data frame, see frames 5-8, Fig. 5).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the interleaving system and method of Gorday with the teaching of Hakansson in interleaving SID_FIRST frame with the Last Speech frame such that the interleaving system of Gorday will interleave the end-of-data indicating frame according to predetermined algorithms, wherein the end-of-data indicating frame according to the predetermined algorithms enables the end-of-data indicating frame to end within the same block carrying the last data frame.

The motivation to do so is to utilize the unused half bursts so that radio resources are not wasted.

7. Claims 34, 36, 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gorday et al. in view of Hamalainen (USP 6,072,787).

Regarding claims 34, 36, 40, Gorday discloses a method of interleaving speech data over a plurality of frames, and an article comprising at least one storage medium containing instructions that when executed cause a system to perform:

interleaving the speech data (a first set of calls) according to a first algorithm (first interleaver interleaves a first set of calls into I-channel bit stream, col. 4, lines 50-54) over

plural frames communicated over a wireless channel (multiplexed bit stream transmitted to the wireless communication device 35 over the outbound RF channel 32, col. 5, limes 1-6 and Fig. 1) for a first set of speech data (for a first set of calls, col. 4, lines 50-54); and

interleaving the speech data (a second set of calls) according to a second algorithm (second interleaver interleaves a second set of calls into Q-channel bit stream, col. 4, lines 54-58) over plural frames communicated over the wireless channel (multiplexed bit stream transmitted to the wireless communication device 35 over the outbound RF channel 32, col. 5, limes 1-6 and Fig. 1) for a second set of speech data (for a second set of calls, col. 4, lines 50-54),

interleave a first speech traffic frame from a telephone interface over (element 20, Fig. 2) plural bursts according to a first algorithm (first interleaver interleaves a first set of calls into I-channel interleaving blocks, col. 4, lines 50-54 and Fig. 2).

interleave a second speech traffic frame from the telephone interface (element 20, Fig. 2) over plural bursts according to a second algorithm (second interleaver interleaves a second set of calls into Q-channel interleaving blocks, col. 4, lines 54-58 and Fig. 2).

Gorday does not explicitly show the telephone interface is coupled to a half-rate mobile station.

However, Hamalainen discloses that a half-rate traffic channel is established with a mobile station in a wireless communication system (col. 2, lines 8-17).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the interleaving schemes of Gorday with the teaching of

Hamalainen in establishing a half-rate traffic channel with a half-rate mobile station such that speech data received at the interleaving system of Gorday comes from a half-rate mobile station.

The motivation to do so is to increase the capacity of the wireless communications system by doubling the number of subscribers when half-rate channel is established rather than full-rate channel.

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 5-12, 14-16, 18-29, 31-33, 37-39, 41 are allowed.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

In claim 5, the data is carried in data frame N starting in block B(x), and wherein interleaving the data frame N according to the first and second algorithms comprises interleaving the data frame N over blocks B(x + 2k) and B(x + 2k + 2), where k = INT(N/2).

In claim 14, wherein the last data frame is data frame M starting in block B(x), wherein, if M is odd, interleaving the data frame M comprises interleaving the data frame M over busts in the last frame in block B(x) and the first three frames of B(x+2), and wherein interleaving the end-of-data indicating frame comprises interleaving the end-of-data indicating frame over bursts in the last three frames of block B(x+2).

In claim 20, data frames I, I = 0 to M, are received starting in block B(x), the controller adapted to interleave data frame I over blocks B(x + 2k) and B(x + 2k + 2), where k = INT(I/2).

In claim 38, the first data frame n is interleaved according to the first algorithm in response to n being an even number, and the second data frame is interleaved according to the second algorithm in response to n+1 being an odd number.

In claim 39, an article comprising at least one storage medium containing instructions that when executed cause the system to:

in response to detecting that the first mobile station has entered discontinuous transmission mode, re-assign the wireless channel portion to a second mobile station to enable multiplexing of traffic from the second mobile station onto the wireless channel portion while the first mobile station is in discontinuous transmission mode;

receive a request from the first mobile station to re-acquire the wireless channel portion, the request transmitted by the first mobile station in response to the first mobile station exiting discontinuous transmission mode.

In claim 41, a system for use in a mobile communications network, comprising:
in response to receiving the indication that the first mobile station has entered
discontinuous transmission mode, to multiplex traffic from a second mobile station onto the
wireless channel portion while the first mobile station is in discontinuous transmission mode,
wherein the controller is adapted to further:

receive a request from the first mobile station to re-acquire the wireless channel portion, the request transmitted by the first mobile station in response to the first mobile station exiting discontinuous transmission mode.

Application/Control Number: 09/715,787 Page 10

Art Unit: 2616

Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments filed 3/16/2007 with respect to claims 1, 3, 4, 13 have been considered but they are not persuasive while applicant's arguments with respect to claims 34, 36, 40 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Applicant argued on page 3, second and third paragraphs of the Remarks that Gorday fails to teach or disclose "interleaving a first set of the speech data communicated in a communications session with the particular mobile station according to a first algorithm" and "interleaving a second set of speech data communicated in a communications session with the particular mobile station according to a second set of algorithm," the examiner respectfully disagrees. It is noted that Gorday discloses interleaving a first set of calls (a first set of speech data) using a first interleaver (interleaving according to a first interleaving algorithm) and a second set of call (a second set of speech data) using a second interleaver (interleaving according to a second interleaving algorithm) and generating a downlink call (a communications session) between a radio frequency base station transmitter and a wireless communication device (with the particular mobile station, see abstract, col. 4, lines 50-67, col. 5, lines 1-6) by multiplexing the two sets of calls/speech data. Therefore, Gorday shows one communications session with one mobile station and reads on the claimed limitations recited in claim 1.

Art Unit: 2616

Conclusion

Page 11

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin Mew whose telephone number is 571-272-3141. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 am - 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chi Pham can be reached on 571-272-3179. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Kevin Mew Work Group 2616

CHI PHAM
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER